

1 **Cetacean detections and environment**
2 **characterization from continuous**
3 **underwater acoustic recordings**

4 **Dorian Cazau¹, Yann Doh^{2,3,4,5}, Nadège Gandilhon⁶, Nicolas Girault⁴, Hervé Glotin^{2,3,6} and**
5 **Olivier Adam^{1,4}**

6 ¹ *Institut d'Alembert, équipe Lutheries Acoustique Musicale (LAM), CNRS UMR 7190,*
7 *Université UPMC, Paris, France*

8 ² *Université Aix Marseille, CNRS, ENSAM, LSIS UMR 7296, 13397 Marseille, France*

9 ³ *Université de Toulon, CNRS, LSIS UMR 7296, 83957 La Garde France*

10 ⁴ *Centre de Neurosciences Paris Sud, Bioacoustics Team, CNPS CNRS UMR 8195, Université*
11 *Paris Sud Orsay, Orsay, France*

12 ⁵ *CeSigma - Signals & Systems, La Garde, France*

13 ⁶ *UMR BOREA, Biologie des ORganismes et Écosystèmes Aquatiques, équipe DYNECAR,*
14 *Université UPMC, Université des Antilles et de la Guyane, France*

15 ⁷ *Institut Universitaire de France, 105 bvd Saint Michel, 75005 Paris, France*

16

17 **ABSTRACT**

18 Different complementary methods are used to observe cetacean species. Passive acoustics is
19 useful to detect vocal cetaceans. The last decade show strong interest from the scientific
20 community including specialists in marine biology, underwater acoustics, signal processing.

21 But up to now, this topic is still under investigation because some of challenges are still open,
22 especially when the objective is not focus on the vocal activity from one specific species. This is
23 the case with acoustic observatories in areas frequented by many different species because the
24 diversity of their emitted sounds is large and the acoustic recordings are depending to many other
25 parameters, like the sensitivity of the sonobuoy, the underwater acoustic propagation, the
26 presence of other sounds, including the anthropogenic noise, corresponding to the variant
27 ambient noise. Trying to define one single detector for different datasets is a challenging
28 objective.

29 To improve the detection rate, we propose to add a preliminary step based on the definition of
30 the quality of the acoustic signal. This step is also used to characterize the acoustic environment
31 (echoes, reverberation, noises). The quality criterio is then used to give a confidence index to the
32 user for the different results of the detection step, based on 2 opposite methods: the use of
33 acoustic descriptors from the detected signal (duration, onset, sustained part, fundamental,
34 harmonics...) and the use of mathematical representations (MFCC, wavelet).

35 We applied this approach to the recorded dataset from St Pierre-et-Miquelon, including 8509
36 files for 353 cumulative days (5158h) during 2010 and 2011. Same species are detected in
37 different noisy conditions (rain, traffic, knocking on the hydrophone) and this dataset allowed us
38 to adjust our method.